

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILE	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/496,467	02/02/2000		Lawrence E Bodkin SR.	D-7211(1156)	6270
7.	590	02/19/2002			
Arthur G Yea			EXAM	EXAMINER	
112 West Adam Ste 1305	ns Street			HAWKINS GAY, JENNIFER M	
Jacksonville, FL 32202				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3672	
			DATE MAILED: 02/19/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>b</i>				
Office Action Summary		09/496,467	BODKIN, LAWRI	ENCE E				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Jennifer H Gay	3672	<u> </u>				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover she	et with the correspondence a	ddress				
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. usions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, now thin the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6, cause the application to become the application to be	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered tim) MONTHS from the mailing date of this me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 301	<u> Vovember 2001</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration	n.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9,10 and 17</u> is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requiremen	t.					
Applicati	ion Papers							
,—	The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.						
_	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (t).					
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		_					
L	1. Certified copies of the priority document							
	2. Certified copies of the priority document							
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioapplication from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2	(a)).	al Stage				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest			al application).				
а	The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ovisional application h	nas been received.					
Attachmen								
1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) 🔲 Not	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper N ice of Informal Patent Application (F er:					

'Art Unit: 3672

DETAILED ACTION

1. In response to applicant's Supplemental Amendment, which crossed in the mail with the Office Action mailed on 19 February 2002, this new Office Action is being mailed.

The period for reply of 3 MONTHS set in the previous Office Action is restarted to begin with the mailing date of this Office Action.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 9, 10, and 17 are objected to because of the following informalities: in claims 9 and 10, a comma should be added after "body" in line 1 and after "shaping" in line 2; in claim 17, a comma should be added after "walls" in line 1 and after "cavity" in line 3 and in line 3 "has" should be changed to --have--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 2, 5-8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Many of the above claims contain vague and confusing language. Examples of such language can be found in line 6 of claim 2 and 8 of claim 5.

Line 6 of claim 2 recites "liquid". The claim is considered vague and confusing because it is unclear if applicant is referring to the liquid mentioned in line 3 of claim 1 or a new and separate liquid. It is suggested that line 6 be changed to read --the liquid--.

Line 8 of claim 5 recites "a body". The claim is considered vague and confusing because it is unclear if applicant is referring to the body mentioned in line 5 of the claim or a new and separate body. It is suggested that line 8 be changed to read --the body--.

· Art Unit: 3672

The remainder of the claims should be reviewed for similar errors and corrected accordingly.

Claim 6 is also considered indefinite because the claim from which it depends, claim 5, is considered indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefore, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

6. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention lacks patentable utility. All of the above claims are directed toward the Applicant's "revised buoyancy law". Laws of nature, such as Archimedes' Principle (see <u>Elementary Fluid Mechanics</u>, pages 64 and 65 and *Archimedes' Principle*), are not considered statutory subject matter thus are not patentable and, as seen in Physics, 4th Edition, the Applicant's "revised buoyancy law" is considered part of the established law of buoyancy. Further, any new discoveries, such as a new law of nature, are considered "manifestations of...nature, free to all men and reserved exclusively to none." (See MPEP 2105)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Physics, 4th Edition.

Physics discloses that when an object, such as a ship, is placed in a cavity that is conformal in shape to that object and the space between the walls of the cavity and the sides of the object is small, all that is needed to float the object is a "very small volume" of liquid that

'Art Unit: 3672

could "fit into a single glass". The walls of this cavity are of a height that is greater than the height reached by the fluid when the object is placed in the cavity. (See page 321, Conceptual Example 9 and Figure 11.21)

Response to Arguments

- 9. In view of the applicant's amendment, the claim objections and 35 USC 112.2 rejection of the claims recited in the previous Office Action have been withdrawn. However, the amendment has presented new objections and 35 USC 112.2 rejections.
- 10. In response to applicant's arguments that the applied references do not have fronts and backs nor are the conformal cavities, the examiner agrees. The rejections based on those references have been withdrawn.
- 11. Applicant's arguments filed 2 January 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Further, applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The examiner acknowledges the applicant's intent to submit affidavits from "academically qualified and authoritatively recognized faculty members of educational institutions who have witnessed demonstrations and [can] attest to the validity of the applicant's claimed invention". The examiner no longer disputes the validity of the applicant's claims, however, this does not negate the fact that the applicant's is claiming an established principle/natural law. The federal courts have upheld that natural laws are not patentable.

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer H Gay whose telephone number is (703) 308-2881. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on (703) 308-2151. The fax phone numbers for the

*Art Unit: 3672

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

JHG (1990) February 21, 2002

DAVID BAGNELL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600